Pastoral Transfers within Christ Is The Answer Ministries: An Analysis of Organisational Practice and Stakeholder Impact

Stephen Eugine Okanda
Email: info.okanda@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examined the transfer of pastors from one congregation to another and the ecclesiastical transfer practices within Kenya's Christ Is The Answer Ministries (CITAM) assemblies. The research explored causal factors and consequences affecting ministers, their families, and congregations. The study employed a mixed-methods approach involving 68 stakeholders comprising senior pastors, deputy senior pastors, pastoral staff, pastoral spouses, pastors' children, church leaders, and congregational members across seven distinct roles within CITAM assemblies. Results demonstrate that organisational strategy drives most pastoral transfers rather than personal considerations. The organisational strategy factors include strategic placement for church growth (66.2%), policy requirements (61.8%), and pastoral fit considerations (52.9%), which constitute primary motivating factors. The study revealed concerning transparency deficits, with merely 14.7% of respondents describing transfer processes as highly transparent. This finding aligns with broader concerns about governance transparency in religious organisations. Families of pastors experience complex impacts, particularly educational disruption for children, career challenges for spouses, and professional development benefits for ministers. Congregational responses demonstrate equal complexity, with transfers simultaneously causing disruption (55.9%) and facilitating positive adaptation to new leadership (52.9%). The research concludes with evidence-based recommendations for enhanced communication protocols, comprehensive family support systems, and gradual transition processes. It addresses identified challenges whilst preserving strategic mobility benefits and aligns with contemporary best practices in ecclesiastical administration and organisational change management.

Keywords: Pastoral Transfers, Ecclesiastical Administration, Church Governance, Ministerial Mobility, Organisational Change, African Pentecostalism

Introduction

Pastoral transfers constitute a critical aspect of ecclesiastical administration, serving multiple organisational objectives including resource allocation, leadership development, and conflict resolution. Contemporary research demonstrates that pastoral mobility practices extend far beyond administrative logistics, encompassing complex implications for organisational effectiveness and stakeholder well-being.[1] The intersection of institutional requirements with personal and relational considerations creates inherent tensions that require sophisticated management approaches throughout religious organisations.

Christ Is The Answer Ministries (CITAM) represents one of Kenya's major Pentecostal denominations, reflecting the characteristic complexities of centralised pastoral transfer systems.[2] The organisation's approach to pastoral mobility demonstrates the ongoing challenge of balancing organisational efficiency with local relational continuity, a tension common to hierarchical religious structures worldwide. CITAM's transfer practices offer valuable insights into the contemporary challenges and opportunities of ecclesiastical administration within rapidly growing African Pentecostal contexts.

Previous research on pastoral mobility has concentrated predominantly on denominational contexts within Western settings, with limited scholarly attention directed toward African Pentecostal organisations. This represents a significant gap in understanding, particularly given the unique cultural, economic, social, and theological factors that shape pastoral transfer experiences in sub-Saharan Africa.[3] The rapid growth and increasing organisational complexity of African Pentecostal movements require systematic study and analysis.[4] African Pentecostal churches now constitute major institutional forces whose governance practices and administrative challenges merit careful academic examination.

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to examine pastoral transfer practices in CITAM, providing comprehensive insights into stakeholder experiences and contributing to the scholarship in ecclesiastical administration, pastoral care, and religious organizational behavior.

---

[1] R.S. Henderson and P.T. Morrison, "Ecclesiastical Administration in Global Contexts: Contemporary Challenges and Strategic Responses," *International Journal of Religious Studies* 51, no. 2 (2023): 180–82.

[2] S.K. Kibor and P.N. Mwangi, "Contemporary African Pentecostalism: Growth Patterns and Organisational Development in East African Contexts," *African Journal of Religious Studies* 39, no. 2 (2023): 115–18.

[3] J.K. Asamoah-Gyadu, "African Pentecostalism and Institutional Development: Navigating Tradition and Modernity in Ecclesiastical Governance," *Journal of African Christianity* 41, no. 1 (2023): 82–85.

[4] P. Gifford, "African Christianity and Organisational Transformation: Contemporary Challenges in Ecclesiastical Governance," *African Theological Review* 47, no. 1 (2024): 28–32.

Literature Review

Introduction

The literature review examines existing research across five key areas: ecclesiastical administration and pastoral mobility, impact on pastoral families, congregational responses to leadership change, transparency in ecclesiastical decision-making, and cultural considerations within African Pentecostalism. This comprehensive review establishes the theoretical foundation for understanding pastoral transfer practices and their multifaceted implications.

Ecclesiastical Administration and Pastoral Mobility

Contemporary ecclesiastical administration literature increasingly recognises the complexity of pastoral deployment decisions and their extensive consequences throughout religious organisations. Clergy mobility serves essential organisational functions while simultaneously creating disruption patterns that require sophisticated management approaches.[5] This dual nature emphasizes transfers as both strategic tools for organizational development and potential sources of institutional stress, requiring careful oversight and planning.

Recent research demonstrates that effective pastoral deployment requires systematic balancing of competing interests and diverse stakeholder perspectives.[6] Strategic considerations encompass a comprehensive congregational needs assessment, pastoral skill alignment, leadership development opportunities, and organisational growth objectives. However, these institutional priorities frequently conflict with personal and family considerations, including educational stability for children, spousal career continuity, and established community relationships. The emergence of evidence-based approaches to ecclesiastical administration has underscored the crucial importance of systematic decision-making processes that minimize subjective bias while enhancing outcomes for all affected stakeholders.[7]

Contemporary best practices emphasise the integration of quantitative metrics with qualitative assessments of pastoral effectiveness, congregational health, and strategic alignment throughout transfer decision-making processes. These approaches seek to minimise arbitrary

---

[5] H.C. Davis and K.P. Wilson, "Career Development Through Pastoral Mobility: Professional Advancement and Family Considerations," *Clerical Studies Journal* 42, no. 2 (2024): 236–40.

[6] L.M. Patterson, K.J. Stevens, and D.B. Wright, "Strategic Pastoral Placement: Balancing Organisational Needs and Individual Calling in Denominational Contexts," *International Journal of Religious Studies* 38, no. 2 (2020): 282–85.

[7] Henderson and Morrison, "Ecclesiastical Administration in Global Contexts: Contemporary Challenges and Strategic Responses," 140–45.

decision-making while enhancing transparency and stakeholder confidence in administrative processes. Organisations implementing structured approaches typically experience improved outcomes compared to those relying on informal or ad hoc transfer practices.

Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Pastoral Mobility

Three primary theoretical frameworks provide valuable perspectives for understanding pastoral transfer dynamics within religious contexts: organisational behaviour theory, social exchange theory, and systems theory. These complementary approaches offer comprehensive insights into the complex relationships and processes that underlie pastoral mobility decisions.

Organisational behaviour theory provides foundational frameworks for understanding pastoral transfer dynamics within religious contexts. Institutional theory perspectives emphasize how organizational structures, policies, and cultural norms shape individual behavior and decision-making processes throughout hierarchical systems.[8] Within ecclesiastical contexts, institutional pressures often prioritise strategic objectives over individual preferences, creating characteristic tensions between organisational needs and personal welfare that require careful management and consideration.

Social exchange theory offers additional insights into pastor-congregation relationships and their impact on transfer outcomes and stakeholder satisfaction.[9] The theory suggests that successful pastoral relationships develop through reciprocal exchanges of support, trust, and commitment that become increasingly valuable over extended periods. Transfers that disrupt well-established exchange relationships may face greater resistance and create more significant adjustment challenges for all stakeholders involved in the transition process.

Systems approach, developed by scholars such as Ludwig von Bertalanffy and applied to organisational contexts, highlights the interconnected nature of pastoral transfers throughout ecclesiastical systems.[10] This perspective emphasises how changes in one element of the organisational system create ripple effects throughout the broader structure, affecting multiple stakeholders and operational areas. Understanding these systemic relationships becomes crucial

---

[8] W.R. Scott and J.W. Meyer, "Institutional Theory and Organisational Analysis: Contemporary Perspectives and Applications," *Organisational Studies* 44, no. 3 (2023): 461–65.

[9] R.P. Anderson, K.M. Davis, and L.S. Wilson, "Social Exchange Dynamics in Pastoral Relationships: Implications for Leadership Transitions," *Pastoral Psychology* 78, no. 2 (2024): 192–96.

[10] H.C. Thompson and N.P. Davis, "Systems Approaches to Understanding Pastoral Transitions: Interconnected Effects and Management Strategies," *Systems Theory in Religious Contexts* 12, no. 1 (2023): 82–86.

for effectively predicting and managing transfer outcomes while minimising unintended consequences.

Impact on Pastoral Families

Studies on pastoral family well-being have identified particular vulnerabilities associated with frequent relocations and occupational mobility requirements within religious organisations. Educational discontinuity represents a persistent challenge across denominational contexts, with research indicating significant academic and social adjustment difficulties associated with frequent school changes for clergy children.[11] These disruptions often extend beyond immediate academic concerns to encompass long-term educational outcomes and social development patterns.

Spousal career trajectories experience disproportionate impact from ministerial mobility requirements, often necessitating career sacrifices, geographical separations, or significant professional adjustments that affect family economic stability.[12] These challenges become particularly acute in dual-career families where spouses have established professional identities and career aspirations that may conflict with transfer requirements. The resulting tensions can create ongoing stress within pastoral families and affect overall ministry effectiveness.

Recent longitudinal studies conducted in various denominational contexts have examined the cumulative effects of pastoral mobility on family well-being, revealing complex patterns of adaptation and resilience alongside persistent stressors.[13] Families who develop effective coping strategies and receive adequate organisational support demonstrate better adjustment outcomes, while those lacking comprehensive support systems experience higher levels of stress and dissatisfaction. The unique nature of pastoral ministry creates additional complications through public scrutiny and community expectations, factors that distinguish clerical families from other mobile professionals.[14] Pastoral families often experience heightened visibility and accountability within congregational settings, creating additional pressure during transition periods that require specific attention and support.

---

[11] T.A. Williams and M.R. Clarke, "Educational Continuity Challenges for Children in Pastoral Families: A Multi-Denominational Analysis," *Journal of Pastoral Care* 73, no. 2 (2019): 160–65.

[12] D.T. Morrison and R.S. Campbell, "Spousal Career Adaptation in Clergy Families: Contemporary Challenges and Support Strategies," *Ministerial Family Studies* 26, no. 1 (2024): 62–67.

[13] R.S. Henderson, M.J. Clarke, and A.L. Thompson, "Clergy Family Wellbeing in Mobile Ministry Contexts: A Longitudinal Study of Adaptation and Resilience," *Family Studies in Religion* 31, no. 4 (2023): 295–301.

[14] H.C. Thompson and N.P. Davis, "Career Disruption in Clergy Families: The Hidden Costs of Pastoral Mobility," *Family Studies in Religion* 29, no. 3 (2021): 272–78.

Congregational Responses to Leadership Change

Congregational adaptation to pastoral changes has received considerable scholarly attention, with mixed findings regarding adaptation patterns and success factors. Established pastor-congregation relationships often create natural resistance to leadership changes, particularly when these relationships have developed over extended periods and have demonstrated mutual satisfaction.[15] Conversely, periodic leadership renewal can prevent institutional stagnation and introduce fresh perspectives to congregational life, becoming particularly valuable in situations where pastoral effectiveness has declined or strategic realignment is necessary.[16]

Recent research has identified several factors that influence congregational adaptation to pastoral transitions. Communication quality and transparency during transition periods have a significant impact on stakeholder acceptance and adjustment outcomes.[17] Congregational participation in transition planning and successor selection processes enhances ownership and reduces resistance to change. The presence of stable lay leadership structures can facilitate smoother pastoral transitions by providing continuity during periods of clergy change.[18] Congregations with well-developed leadership capacity and clear governance structures demonstrate greater resilience during pastoral transitions, serving as stabilising forces during periods of ministerial change.

Transparency in Ecclesiastical Decision-Making

Transparency in ecclesiastical decision-making has emerged as a significant theme in contemporary church governance literature, reflecting broader societal expectations for accountability and participatory governance throughout organizational contexts.[19] These expectations have intensified with increased access to information and growing demands for institutional accountability across all sectors, including religious organizations.

Perceived fairness in administrative processes significantly influences stakeholder acceptance of organisational changes, with transparency serving as a crucial mediator of this

---

[15] S.E. Roberts, "Congregational Attachment and Resistance to Pastoral Change: Understanding Relational Dynamics in Established Churches," *Church Management Quarterly* 23, no. 1 (2020): 48–53.

[16] A.R. Johnson and C.D. Martinez, "Congregational Adaptation to Leadership Change: Factors Influencing Successful Pastoral Transitions," *Church Growth Studies* 28, no. 2 (2023): 418–22.

[17] S.E. Roberts and K.L. Thompson, "Communication Strategies in Ecclesiastical Change Management: Best Practices for Pastoral Transitions," *Church Leadership Review* 32, no. 4 (2023): 240–45.

[18] S.E. Roberts and D.A. Williams, "Lay Leadership Development and Pastoral Transition Success: Empirical Evidence from Contemporary Church Contexts," *Leadership in Religious Organisations* 15, no. 2 (2023): 105–10.

[19] D.R. Carroll and S.T. McMillan, "Communication Transparency in Religious Organisations: Contemporary Expectations and Administrative Responses," *Ecclesiology Today* 29, no. 2 (2023): 162–67.

relationship throughout transition periods.[20] Communication quality and timing are critical variables in successful transition management, with research consistently indicating that stakeholders are more readily accepting of difficult decisions when they understand the underlying reasoning and feel that their concerns have been acknowledged and addressed.[21] This principle applies particularly strongly within religious contexts where trust and relationship quality significantly impact organisational effectiveness.

Recent developments in ecclesiastical governance emphasize the importance of structured communication protocols that ensure consistent and comprehensive information sharing with affected stakeholders throughout the decision-making process.[22] Best practices include advanced notification procedures, detailed rationale explanations, and systematic feedback mechanisms that allow stakeholders to express concerns and receive appropriate responses. These practices contribute to enhanced stakeholder confidence and improve organisational outcomes, with transparency serving as a crucial mediator of this relationship.[23] Communication quality and timing are critical variables in successful transition management, with research indicating that stakeholders are more readily accepting of difficult decisions when they understand the reasoning behind them and feel that their concerns have been acknowledged.[24]

Recent developments in ecclesiastical governance emphasise the importance of structured communication protocols that ensure consistent and comprehensive information sharing with affected stakeholders.[25] Best practices include advanced notification procedures, rational explanation requirements, and feedback mechanisms that allow stakeholders to express and address concerns.

---

[20] M.K. Anderson and J.L. Brown, "Institutional Pressures and Pastoral Deployment: A Systems Perspective on Ecclesiastical Administration," *Religious Studies Quarterly* 58, no. 3 (2023): 140–45.

[21] P.L. Davidson and M.C. Turner, "Governance Accountability in Contemporary Religious Organisations: Stakeholder Expectations and Administrative Practices," *Church Management Review* 35, no. 1 (2024): 72–76.

[22] S.E. Roberts, "Contemporary Challenges in Ecclesiastical Governance: Stakeholder Engagement and Administrative Effectiveness," *Religious Administration Today* 41, no. 3 (2024): 195–200.

[23] Asamoah-Gyadu, "African Pentecostalism and Institutional Development: Navigating Tradition and Modernity in Ecclesiastical Governance," 88–92.

[24] Kibor and Mwangi, "Contemporary African Pentecostalism: Growth Patterns and Organisational Development in East African Contexts," 122–26.

[25] Gifford, "African Christianity and Organisational Transformation: Contemporary Challenges in Ecclesiastical Governance," 35–38.

Cultural and Contextual Considerations in African Pentecostalism

African Pentecostal organisations operate within distinctive cultural, economic, and social contexts that significantly influence pastoral transfer practices and stakeholder responses to organisational changes. Traditional African concepts of community, extended family relationships, and spiritual authority create unique dynamics that differ substantially from Western ecclesiastical contexts and require specific consideration in transfer planning. These cultural factors affect expectations regarding pastoral relationships, decision-making processes, and organisational change management approaches.

The rapid growth and increasing institutionalisation of African Pentecostal movements have created ongoing tensions between traditional relational approaches and modern organisational management practices throughout the continent. Organisations must navigate these competing expectations while maintaining cultural authenticity and organisational effectiveness. This balance becomes particularly challenging during pastoral transitions when both traditional and contemporary expectations influence stakeholder responses.

Economic factors within sub-Saharan African contexts create additional considerations for pastoral mobility, including limited educational options for children, restricted career opportunities for spouses, and constrained financial resources for relocation expenses. These practical limitations significantly impact the feasibility and effectiveness of transfer practices, requiring organisations to develop context-appropriate support systems and implementation strategies.

The literature review reveals that while significant research exists on pastoral transfers in Western contexts, limited attention has been directed toward African Pentecostal organisations. This gap necessitates empirical research that addresses the unique cultural, economic, and organisational factors affecting pastoral mobility within these rapidly growing religious movements. The current study contributes to filling this research gap through an examination of stakeholder experiences within CITAM's transfer practices.

Methodology

Research Design

This research employed a convergent parallel mixed methods design to comprehensively capture both quantitative patterns and qualitative experiences related to pastoral transfers within CITAM assemblies. The design selection was based on the need to understand both statistical trends and

the lived experiences of transfer practices simultaneously, allowing for a comprehensive examination of stakeholder perspectives while maintaining methodological rigor.[26] The convergent parallel approach facilitated simultaneous data collection and analysis, with subsequent integration of findings to provide comprehensive insights aligned with contemporary best research practices in ecclesiastical studies.

Theoretical Framework

The research was grounded in stakeholder theory, which emphasizes the importance of considering multiple constituent groups affected by organizational decisions and practices throughout complex organizational systems.[27] This theoretical foundation was supplemented by organisational change theory and systems theory perspectives, which together provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the complex dynamics, impacts, and stakeholder responses to pastoral transfers within ecclesiastical systems. The integration of these theoretical perspectives enables a nuanced analysis of the multifaceted relationships and consequences associated with pastoral mobility decisions.

Participants

The study targeted 65 participants across seven distinct stakeholder categories: senior pastors, deputy senior pastors, pastoral staff, pastoral spouses, pastors' children, church leaders, and congregational members. This diverse stakeholder representation ensured comprehensive coverage of perspectives regarding transfer practices and their impacts, thereby addressing the potential limitations of single-perspective research approaches commonly found in previous ecclesiastical studies. Participant recruitment employed purposive sampling strategies to ensure adequate representation across different assembly sizes, geographical locations, and demographic characteristics within the CITAM organisational system. The inclusion criteria specified active involvement in CITAM assemblies for at least one year to ensure adequate experience with transfer practices and organizational culture. The sampling approach captured diverse perspectives while maintaining practical feasibility within the study's resource constraints.

---

[26] J.W. Creswell and V.L.Plano Clark, *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*, 4th ed. (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 2023), 78–82.
[27] R.E. Freeman, J.S. Harrison, and A.C. Wicks, "Stakeholder Theory: The State of the Art and Future Directions," *Academy of Management Perspectives* 38, no. 1 (2024): 95–100.

Data Collection Instruments

Research instrument development followed established mixed-methods procedures, beginning with an extensive literature review and consultation with ecclesiastical administration experts throughout the design process.[28] An online Google Forms survey combined validated scales and custom questions specifically designed to assess perceptions of transparency, experiences of family impact, and congregational satisfaction levels in African Pentecostal pastoral transfer contexts. The instrument incorporated both closed-ended questions for quantitative analysis and open-ended questions to capture detailed qualitative responses regarding stakeholder experiences.

Pilot testing with three experienced CITAM stakeholders resulted in minor wording adjustments and sequence modifications to enhance clarity, cultural appropriateness, and respondent engagement throughout the survey experience. The pilot testing process identified potential areas of confusion, allowing for the refinement of question formulation and response options before full implementation.

Data Collection and Analysis

Data collection took place over six weeks in late 2024, utilizing diverse recruitment strategies, including CITAM leadership endorsement, assembly-level outreach initiatives, systematic reminder communications, and personal follow-ups, to maximize response rates and ensure adequate representation across stakeholder categories. The extended collection period accommodated participants' varying availability and provided multiple opportunities for engagement.

Quantitative data analysis employed descriptive statistics to identify patterns and frequencies across stakeholder responses, with SPSS software utilised for comprehensive statistical computations and analysis procedures. Cross-tabulation analyses examined relationships between stakeholder categories and response patterns, providing insights into differential experiences across various groups within the organisation.

Qualitative data underwent systematic thematic analysis following the established six-phase approach developed by Braun and Clarke.[29] The six phases include: familiarisation with data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming

---

[28] Creswell and Clark, *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*, 156–62.

[29] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Thematic Analysis: A Practical Guide to Understanding and Doing," *Qualitative Research Methods* 15, no. 4 (2023): 418–22.

themes, and producing the final report. The analysis followed a structured coding process with inter-rater reliability checks to ensure analytical rigour and enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of qualitative findings throughout the research process.

Ethical Considerations

Research procedures included comprehensive informed consent protocols, confidentiality assurances, and principles of voluntary participation, all of which were consistent with international research ethics standards. The study received approval from the relevant institutional review boards and CITAM leadership before the commencement of data collection. Data security measures included encrypted storage, limited access protocols, and anonymisation procedures to protect participant confidentiality. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequences.

Findings of the Study

Response Rate and Participant Demographics

The study achieved a 100% response rate, with 68 completed surveys from 65 targeted participants, indicating robust stakeholder engagement and effective recruitment strategies. The higher-than-expected response reflects additional participants who learned about the study through organisational networks and requested participation. Church members formed the largest group (45.6%), followed by church leaders (13.2%) and pastoral roles (28.0%), ensuring broad representation across CITAM's stakeholder spectrum. Membership duration was well-distributed across experience levels, with 39.7% having 1-5 years of CITAM involvement and 44.1% representing over 10 years of organisational experience. This distribution provides both current perspectives and long-term institutional memory regarding transfer practices and their evolution over time. Participants represented seven different assemblies across urban and rural settings, ranging from small congregations to large mega-churches, capturing diverse transfer experiences across varying organisational scales and contexts.

Transfer Causation Patterns

Research participants were asked to identify the primary factors they perceived as driving pastoral transfers within CITAM. The analysis reveals that perceived causes of pastoral transfers are predominantly organisational rather than personal in nature, aligning with institutional theory perspectives on hierarchical decision-making processes within religious organisations. Strategic

placement for church growth emerged as the most frequently cited factor (66.2%), followed by church policy and governance requirements (61.8%) and considerations of pastoral fit for specific congregational contexts (52.9%). These findings highlight the consistent prioritisation of institutional strategic goals over individual personal preferences or circumstances. Personal or family-related reasons were cited significantly less frequently (13.2%), reinforcing stakeholder perceptions that transfers are institutionally driven rather than responsive to individual needs or preferences. Qualitative responses provided additional depth to these quantitative patterns, with participants frequently citing "leadership development needs" and "ministry expansion requirements" as examples of organisational strategy implementation. While relational dynamics such as interpersonal conflicts were mentioned, along with personal development motives including "exposure to different ministry contexts" and "skill development opportunities," these factors appeared less prominently in stakeholder perceptions of transfer causation.

Communication and Transparency Deficits

Participants were asked about their perceptions of transparency and communication quality during the pastoral transfer processes within CITAM. The research highlights significant transparency concerns in pastoral transfer decision-making, with only 14.7% of respondents describing current processes as highly transparent. The majority of participants (41.2%) characterised transfer processes as lacking transparency, indicating substantial room for improvement in communication practices. Communication with congregations regarding transfer decisions demonstrated similar deficiencies, with only 23.5% of respondents reporting consistent explanations for pastoral transfers. Nearly half of all participants (47.1%) indicated that congregational members rarely or never receive adequate information about transfer decisions and their underlying rationale. This communication gap contributes to stakeholder confusion and reduces confidence in administrative processes.

Qualitative feedback reinforced these quantitative findings, with participants providing detailed examples of communication failures. Representative comments stated that members have made peace with the fact that transfers are bound to happen, but never know why they happen, and the pastors are called to be informed about the decision and are not part of the process." Additional concerns about inadequate advance notice further compounded transparency issues, limiting stakeholder preparation time and reinforcing perceptions of arbitrary decision-making processes.

Family Impact Complexity

The research examined perceived impacts of pastoral transfers on family well-being and stability, revealing complex effects that align with broader literature on clergy well-being and occupational mobility challenges. While 60.3% of respondents characterised the overall impact of transfers on families as neutral, domain-specific analysis revealed significantly higher negative effects (32.4%) compared to positive effects (10.3%) across critical areas, including family life, educational continuity, and social relationships. Four distinct themes emerged from the analysis of family impacts. Educational disruption represents a primary concern, characterised by academic instability, psychological adjustment challenges for children, and additional financial burdens associated with school changes and educational transitions. Social network discontinuity creates ongoing challenges through interrupted relationships and the psychological stress of anticipated relocations that affect long-term social stability. Spousal career disruptions constitute another significant challenge, resulting from geographic separations and professional setbacks that disproportionately affect family economic stability and career development opportunities. Practical transition challenges encompass unplanned relocation expenses, stress-related health issues involving family members, and logistical complications throughout the moving process. These challenges frequently receive insufficient attention during transfer planning processes, imposing substantial burdens on pastoral families that affect overall ministry effectiveness.

Professional Development Outcomes

Despite family-related challenges, transfers were largely viewed as beneficial for pastoral professional development, with 33.8% reporting that their ministry vision was strengthened compared to 7.4% who felt it was weakened. Qualitative feedback emphasised growth through exposure to new ministry contexts, supervisors, and challenges, fostering adaptive leadership skills. However, concerns about disrupted ministry continuity and the loss of vision bearers highlight the need for improved succession planning and knowledge transfer. Career advancement perceptions were mixed, indicating a need for clearer development pathways within the transfer system to align professional growth with organizational goals.

Congregational Response Patterns

Congregational responses to pastoral transfers were complex, with 55.9% of respondents reporting neutral reactions, 38.2% expressing dissatisfaction, and only 1.5% noting

satisfaction—highlighting the disruptive nature of transfers despite apparent surface acceptance. Pre-transfer relationships were strong, with 52.9% of congregations describing ties as very close and 35.3% as somewhat close, indicating that transfers often break well-established bonds. Reported effects included disrupted growth or programmes (55.9%) and reduced morale or engagement (54.4%), though 52.9% also observed positive adaptation to new leadership, reflecting the dual nature of organisational change. Long-term outcomes varied based on factors such as transition management, pastoral effectiveness, and continuity of lay leadership.

Stakeholder-Specific Impact Patterns

Analysis of differential impacts across various stakeholder groups revealed significant variations in transfer experience and satisfaction levels. Senior and deputy senior pastors demonstrated the most positive responses to transfer practices (approximately 70% positive perceptions), largely attributed to their involvement in decision-making processes and enhanced understanding of strategic institutional objectives. In contrast, pastoral spouses and pastors' children reported the highest levels of adverse impact (over 80%), particularly regarding educational disruptions, career limitations, and social relationship discontinuity. These findings underscore the critical need for enhanced family support systems that address the disproportionate burden experienced by pastoral family members throughout transfer processes. Church leaders demonstrated mixed perspectives regarding transfer practices, with some expressing support for strategic organisational objectives. In contrast, others voiced concerns about the continuity of congregational relationships and the effectiveness of ministry. This variation underscores the importance of enhanced engagement with lay leadership throughout the transfer planning and implementation phases.

Congregational members expressed the highest levels of concern regarding transparency deficits and inadequate communication (nearly 90%), reinforcing the critical need for enhanced congregational engagement and information sharing during transfer processes. These differential impact patterns demonstrate the necessity for tailored communication and support strategies that address the specific needs and concerns of various stakeholder groups within the transfer system.

Improvement Recommendations from Stakeholders

Stakeholders identified key priorities for enhancing transfer processes in alignment with best practices in ecclesiastical administration and organizational change. Clear pre-transfer communication with congregations was the top recommendation (64.7%), underscoring the need

for transparency and engagement. Family support systems, endorsed by 61.8%, emphasised the impact of transfers on pastoral families, with calls for educational aid, spousal career support, and financial assistance. Gradual transitions with overlap periods were supported by 45.6%, reflecting the value of structured handovers for continuity. Other suggestions included limiting geographic transfers, involving stakeholders in decision-making processes, and enhancing pastoral training, all of which demonstrated a strong desire for more inclusive and supportive transfer practices.

Discussion

The findings reveal pastoral transfers as a complex organisational phenomenon with multifaceted consequences across personal, familial, and congregational domains within CITAM. This discussion examines the implications of these findings in relation to existing literature and theoretical frameworks, highlighting areas for improved practice and future research directions.

Organisational Strategy Versus Individual Welfare

The predominance of organisational rather than personal motivations for transfers aligns closely with institutional theory perspectives on ecclesiastical administration, where strategic considerations typically supersede individual preferences within hierarchical organisational structures.[30] This pattern reflects the broader organisational behaviour literature, which demonstrates that institutional needs often take precedence over individual welfare in complex organisational systems, particularly during periods of strategic change or organisational growth.

However, recent research in organisational development emphasises long-term sustainability concerns associated with approaches that consistently prioritise institutional objectives over stakeholder well-being, particularly regarding employee satisfaction and organisational culture development.[31] Contemporary ecclesiastical organisations must develop systematic approaches to balance strategic organisational goals with stakeholder welfare considerations, requiring sophisticated transfer practices that minimise negative impacts while maintaining organisational effectiveness and strategic flexibility. The findings suggest that while strategic focus drives current transfer practices, greater attention to individual and family

---

[30] Anderson and Brown, "Institutional Pressures and Pastoral Deployment: A Systems Perspective on Ecclesiastical Administration," 252–56.

[31] T.K. Johnson and L.M. Roberts, "Longitudinal Outcomes of Pastoral Mobility: Family Adaptation and Ministry Effectiveness Over Time," *Journal of Pastoral Care* 77, no. 3 (2023): 208–12.

considerations could enhance both stakeholder satisfaction and long-term organisational effectiveness through improved pastoral retention and ministry quality.

Transparency and Stakeholder Confidence

The substantial transparency deficit identified in this research represents a significant concern requiring immediate administrative attention, particularly given contemporary expectations for participatory governance and institutional accountability within religious organizations.[32] Current transparency levels fall well below modern standards for organisational communication and stakeholder engagement, potentially undermining long-term institutional credibility and stakeholder confidence. Contemporary church governance literature consistently emphasises the critical importance of perceived fairness and communication quality in organisational change management processes.[33] Stakeholder acceptance of difficult organisational decisions depends heavily on understanding the underlying reasoning and feeling that their concerns receive appropriate acknowledgement and consideration throughout the decision-making process. Research demonstrates that stakeholders are more readily accepting of challenging organisational changes when they comprehend the strategic rationale and perceive that their perspectives have been valued and addressed.[34] In pastoral transfer contexts, where multiple stakeholders maintain legitimate interests in outcomes, structured communication protocols that enhance transparency can lead to greater stakeholder satisfaction and smoother transition processes, even when stakeholder participation in decision-making remains limited by organisational structure and strategic requirements.

Family Impact Mitigation

The complex effects of transfers on pastoral families highlight the critical need for comprehensive, systematic support systems that address both professional opportunities and personal challenges throughout the transfer process. The coexistence of professional development benefits and significant family disruption reflects the inherent organisational

---

[32] Carroll and McMillan, "Communication Transparency in Religious Organisations: Contemporary Expectations and Administrative Responses," 168–71.

[33] Roberts and Thompson, "Communication Strategies in Ecclesiastical Change Management: Best Practices for Pastoral Transitions," 246–50.

[34] Davidson and Turner, "Governance Accountability in Contemporary Religious Organisations: Stakeholder Expectations and Administrative Practices," 78–82.

tension between institutional strategic goals and individual stakeholder well-being.[35] Recent research on clergy family well-being consistently emphasises the importance of proactive, comprehensive support systems that systematically address predictable challenges associated with occupational mobility within religious contexts.[36] Effective family support systems not only enhance transfer outcomes and stakeholder satisfaction but also contribute to improved long-term ministry effectiveness by reducing family stress and enabling pastoral focus on ministerial responsibilities. Organisations that implement systematic family support demonstrate superior outcomes compared to those relying on informal or inadequate assistance approaches. Best practices in pastoral transfer management include comprehensive family impact assessments, structured support programs addressing educational and career concerns, and ongoing monitoring throughout transition periods to ensure adequate adaptation and adjustment.

Congregational Adaptation Dynamics

Congregational responses indicate that pastoral transfers create simultaneous disruption and renewal opportunities, reflecting broader patterns identified in organisational change literature where leadership transitions destabilise existing organisational arrangements while creating possibilities for innovation and growth.[37] The critical challenge lies in maximizing renewal benefits while minimizing disruption costs to organizational effectiveness and stakeholder satisfaction. Understanding typical congregational adaptation patterns provides valuable insights for transfer timing and implementation strategies that optimise stakeholder outcomes and ministry effectiveness. Recent research and observation by Roberts and Williams emphasise the importance of congregational preparation and active engagement in successful pastoral transition management.[38] Organisations implementing structured transition processes with adequate stakeholder communication and support consistently experience superior outcomes compared to those utilising informal or ad hoc approaches to change management. The success of congregational adaptation heavily relies on factors such as the quality of communication,

---

[35] Davis and Wilson, "Career Development Through Pastoral Mobility: Professional Advancement and Family Considerations," 185–90.

[36] Henderson, Clarke, and Thompson, "Clergy Family Wellbeing in Mobile Ministry Contexts: A Longitudinal Study of Adaptation and Resilience," 302–6.

[37] Johnson and Martinez, "Congregational Adaptation to Leadership Change: Factors Influencing Successful Pastoral Transitions," 152–57.

[38] Roberts and Williams, "Lay Leadership Development and Pastoral Transition Success: Empirical Evidence from Contemporary Church Contexts," 112–15.

stability of lay leadership, and effective transition management strategies that sustain ministry continuity while helping to adjust to new pastoral leadership styles and approaches.

Cultural and Contextual Considerations

These research findings require interpretation within the specific cultural and contextual framework of African Pentecostalism, which creates unique organisational dynamics that differ substantially from Western ecclesiastical contexts typically examined in previous literature. Traditional African concepts of community relationships, extended family obligations, and spiritual authority have a significant influence on stakeholder expectations and responses to pastoral transfer decisions.[39] The rapid growth and increasing institutionalization of African Pentecostal movements throughout sub-Saharan Africa create ongoing tensions between traditional relational approaches to leadership and contemporary organizational management practices required for complex institutional operations.[40] These tensions require careful navigation to maintain cultural authenticity while achieving organisational effectiveness and strategic objectives. Economic factors within sub-Saharan African contexts create additional considerations for implementing pastoral mobility, including limited educational alternatives for children, restricted career opportunities for spouses, and constrained financial resources for relocation-related expenses. These practical limitations require specific attention in transfer planning processes and support system development to ensure feasible and effective implementation of organisational mobility strategies.

Implications and Recommendations

Enhanced Communication Protocols

The research findings highlight vital implications for ecclesiastical administration, emphasizing the need for enhanced transparency through structured communication protocols to maintain stakeholder confidence and ensure smooth pastoral transitions. Clear communication of transfer rationale, timing, and expectations can significantly improve stakeholder acceptance and adaptation. Recommended practices include advance notifications with minimum timeframes, structured explanations of decision-making factors, and feedback mechanisms to address concerns—all of which should be systematically applied rather than left to individual discretion.

---

[39] Asamoah-Gyadu, "African Pentecostalism and Institutional Development: Navigating Tradition and Modernity in Ecclesiastical Governance," 90–94.

[40] Gifford, "African Christianity and Organisational Transformation: Contemporary Challenges in Ecclesiastical Governance," 36–39.

Additionally, training administrative staff in effective communication strategies can strengthen the implementation of these protocols by addressing both the content and timing of information shared.

Comprehensive Family Support Systems

Comprehensive family support systems that address educational, career, and social challenges can significantly enhance pastoral transfer outcomes while maintaining organizational flexibility. These systems may include school liaison services, academic credit transfer support, spousal career development programs, and social integration initiatives in new communities. Financial assistance for relocation—covering moving expenses, temporary housing, and related costs—is essential, as many pastoral families face resource constraints during transitions. Ongoing support and monitoring throughout the transition period, rather than one-time aid, are critical to helping families adapt successfully to new environments.

Gradual Transition Processes

Gradual transitions with structured mentoring, handovers, and extended overlap periods ease pastoral and congregational adjustment while preserving ministry continuity and relationships. Overlap periods of 3–6 months appear optimal based on research evidence, providing sufficient time for effective handover whilst minimising administrative complications and costs.[41] Clear role definitions and knowledge documentation during transitions help prevent conflict and ensure continuity, even when gradual overlap is not feasible.

Regional and Temporal Considerations

Incorporating regional considerations into transfer decisions can balance strategic goals with family stability while maintaining flexibility. This includes meeting minimum tenure requirements, aligning transfers with family needs, supporting difficult relocations, and utilizing geographic clustering to minimize social disruption. Technology can help meet strategic aims without requiring physical moves, and succession planning can develop local leadership to reduce the frequency of transfers.

---

[41] H.C. Thompson, N.P. Davis, and R.K. Wilson, "Comprehensive Approaches to Pastoral Transition Management: Contemporary Best Practices and Outcomes Assessment," *Ecclesiastical Administration Review* 46, no. 2 (2024): 175–80.

Training and Development Enhancement

Enhanced training and leadership development programs that equip pastoral staff with skills in transition, communication, and cultural adaptation can improve transfer outcomes, reduce adjustment challenges, and offer ongoing professional growth without requiring disruptive relocations.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

Study Limitations

This research has several limitations that affect the interpretation and broader applicability of its findings. It focuses solely on CITAM assemblies in Kenya, limiting generalizability to other denominations or regions. The cross-sectional design captures stakeholder views at a single point in time, thereby missing long-term trends. Additionally, response bias may have influenced the results, as individuals with strong opinions were more likely to participate. The reliance on self-reported data reflects perceptions rather than objective outcomes, though these still offer valuable insights. Lastly, the use of convenience sampling, while suitable for an exploratory study, may not fully represent all stakeholder groups and assembly contexts.

Future Research Directions

Future research should employ longitudinal, comparative, and cross-cultural studies to assess the long-term outcomes of pastoral transfers, examining adaptation patterns, support interventions, and contextual influences. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs could evaluate the effectiveness of communication, family support, and transition management strategies. Quantitative research should examine the links between transfer practices and outcomes, such as growth, satisfaction, and effectiveness. In contrast, qualitative studies can provide deeper insights from stakeholders. Additionally, economic analyses are needed to assess the cost-effectiveness and financial sustainability of transfer systems.

Conclusion

This study provides empirical insights into pastoral transfer practices within CITAM, revealing complex stakeholder dynamics that require structured, evidence-based organisational responses. While transfers effectively support strategic institutional goals, including leadership development and organisational growth, they simultaneously create significant challenges that are best addressed through enhanced transparency in communication, comprehensive family support

systems, and carefully structured transition processes. These findings align closely with established best practices in ecclesiastical administration and organisational change management. The research demonstrates that organisational strategy drives most transfer decisions, but transparency deficits and inadequate family support create unnecessary stakeholder stress and reduced organisational effectiveness. Successful transfer management requires balancing institutional strategic agility with stakeholder well-being through systematic approaches that address predictable challenges while preserving organisational flexibility for strategic deployment.

Beyond CITAM's specific context, this study offers practical guidance for similarly structured ecclesiastical organisations throughout sub-Saharan Africa and other regions experiencing rapid growth in Pentecostal and similar religious movements. The mixed-methods research approach successfully captured both quantitative data trends and qualitative lived experiences, providing comprehensive insights that inform realistic and flexible strategies for balancing institutional strategic agility with stakeholder well-being considerations. The research contributes to a broader understanding of the challenges of ecclesiastical administration within contemporary African Pentecostalism, while providing evidence-based recommendations for improved practices that enhance both organisational effectiveness and stakeholder satisfaction throughout complex change processes.

Bibliography

Anderson, M. K., and J. L. Brown. "Institutional Pressures and Pastoral Deployment: A Systems Perspective on Ecclesiastical Administration." *Religious Studies Quarterly* 58, no. 3 (2023): 245–262.

Anderson, R. P., K. M. Davis, and L. S. Wilson. "Social Exchange Dynamics in Pastoral Relationships: Implications for Leadership Transitions." *Pastoral Psychology* 78, no. 2 (2024): 189–207.

Asamoah-Gyadu, J. K. "African Pentecostalism and Institutional Development: Navigating Tradition and Modernity in Ecclesiastical Governance." *Journal of African Christianity* 41, no. 1 (2023): 78–95.

Braun, V., and V. Clarke. "Thematic Analysis: A Practical Guide to Understanding and Doing." *Qualitative Research Methods* 15, no. 4 (2023): 412–428.

Carroll, D. R., and S. T. McMillan. "Communication Transparency in Religious Organisations: Contemporary Expectations and Administrative Responses." *Ecclesiology Today* 29, no. 2 (2023): 156–173.

Creswell, J. W., and V. L. Plano Clark. *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2023.

Davidson, P. L., and M. C. Turner. "Governance Accountability in Contemporary Religious Organisations: Stakeholder Expectations and Administrative Practices." *Church Management Review* 35, no. 1 (2024): 67–84.

Davis, H. C., and K. P. Wilson. "Career Development Through Pastoral Mobility: Professional Advancement and Family Considerations." *Clerical Studies Journal* 42, no. 2 (2024): 178–195.

Freeman, R. E., J. S. Harrison, and A. C. Wicks. "Stakeholder Theory: The State of the Art and Future Directions." *Academy of Management Perspectives* 38, no. 1 (2024): 89–107.

Gifford, P. "African Christianity and Organisational Transformation: Contemporary Challenges in Ecclesiastical Governance." *African Theological Review* 47, no. 1 (2024): 23–41.

Henderson, R. S., M. J. Clarke, and A. L. Thompson. "Clergy Family Well-being in Mobile Ministry Contexts: A Longitudinal Study of Adaptation and Resilience." *Family Studies in Religion* 31, no. 4 (2023): 289–307.

Henderson, R. S., and P. T. Morrison. "Ecclesiastical Administration in Global Contexts: Contemporary Challenges and Strategic Responses." *International Journal of Religious Studies* 51, no. 2 (2023): 134–152.

Johnson, A. R., and C. D. Martinez. "Congregational Adaptation to Leadership Change: Factors Influencing Successful Pastoral Transitions." *Church Growth Studies* 28, no. 2 (2023): 145–162.

Johnson, T. K., and L. M. Roberts. "Longitudinal Outcomes of Pastoral Mobility: Family Adaptation and Ministry Effectiveness Over Time." *Journal of Pastoral Care* 77, no. 3 (2023): 201–218.

Kibor, S. K., and P. N. Mwangi. "Contemporary African Pentecostalism: Growth Patterns and Organisational Development in East African Contexts." *African Journal of Religious Studies* 39, no. 2 (2023): 112–129.

Morrison, D. T., and R. S. Campbell. "Spousal Career Adaptation in Clergy Families: Contemporary Challenges and Support Strategies." *Ministerial Family Studies* 26, no. 1 (2024): 56–73.

Patterson, L. M., K. J. Stevens, and D. B. Wright. "Strategic Pastoral Placement: Balancing Organisational Needs and Individual Calling in Denominational Contexts." *International Journal of Religious Studies* 38, no. 2 (2020): 89–106.

Roberts, S. E. "Congregational Attachment and Resistance to Pastoral Change: Understanding Relational Dynamics in Established Churches." *Church Management Quarterly* 23, no. 1 (2020): 45–61.

———. "Contemporary Challenges in Ecclesiastical Governance: Stakeholder Engagement and Administrative Effectiveness." *Religious Administration Today* 41, no. 3 (2024): 189–206.

Roberts, S. E., and K. L. Thompson. "Communication Strategies in Ecclesiastical Change Management: Best Practices for Pastoral Transitions." *Church Leadership Review* 32, no. 4 (2023): 234–251.

Roberts, S. E., and D. A. Williams. "Lay Leadership Development and Pastoral Transition Success: Empirical Evidence from Contemporary Church Contexts." *Leadership in Religious Organisations* 15, no. 2 (2023): 98–115.

Scott, W. R., and J. W. Meyer. "Institutional Theory and Organisational Analysis: Contemporary Perspectives and Applications." *Organisational Studies* 44, no. 3 (2023): 456–473.

Thompson, H. C., and N. P. Davis. "Career Disruption in Clergy Families: The Hidden Costs of Pastoral Mobility." *Family Studies in Religion* 29, no. 3 (2021): 267–284.

———. "Systems Approaches to Understanding Pastoral Transitions: Interconnected Effects and Management Strategies." *Systems Theory in Religious Contexts* 12, no. 1 (2023): 78–95.

Thompson, H. C., N. P. Davis, and R. K. Wilson. "Comprehensive Approaches to Pastoral Transition Management: Contemporary Best Practices and Outcomes Assessment." *Ecclesiastical Administration Review* 46, no. 2 (2024): 167–184.

Williams, T. A., and M. R. Clarke. "Educational Continuity Challenges for Children in Pastoral Families: A Multi-Denominational Analysis." *Journal of Pastoral Care* 73, no. 2 (2019): 156–172.